IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

JUAN MANUEL REYES,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUSAN WASHBURN, Superintendent of EOCI, ANDREA NEISTADT, Assistant Superintendent of EOCI, L. LEGORE, Law Library Supervisor of EOCI, C. BOLLES, Law Library Coordinator, CAPT. J. WALKER, Operations Capt., CAPT. T. STEWART, Institutional Capt., P. VILLERS, Law Library Coordinator of E.O.C.I., and MS. M. JOHNSON, Law Library Coordinator of E.O.C.I.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:21-cv-01175-SB

OPINION AND ORDER ADOPTING
JUDGE BECKERMAN'S FINDINGS
AND RECOMMENDATION DENYING
PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS FOR
PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AND
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER

Juan Manuel Reyes, 21302533, Eastern Oregon Correctional Institute, 2500 Westgate, Pendleton, OR 97801. Pro Se.

Molly K. Honore, Chad A. Naso, Jermaine Brown, Kerry J. Shepherd, R. Kyle Busse, and April Stone, Markowitz Herbold PC, 1455 SW Broadway, Suite 1900, Portland, OR 97201. Attorneys for Defendants.

IMMERGUT, District Judge.

Plaintiff has not filed any objections to Judge Beckerman's Findings and Recommendation ("F&R"), ECF 65, recommending the denial of his Motions for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order, ECF 48. Nonetheless, this Court has reviewed the F&R and ADOPTS Judge Beckerman's F&R.

STANDARDS

Under the Federal Magistrates Act ("Act"), as amended, the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). If a party objects to a magistrate judge's F&R, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." *Id.* But the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the F&R that are not objected to. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149–50 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). Nevertheless, the Act "does not preclude further review by the district judge, *sua sponte*" whether de novo or under another standard. *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 154.

CONCLUSION

Judge Beckerman's F&R, ECF 65, is adopted in full. This Court DENIES Plaintiff's Motions for Preliminary Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order, ECF 48.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 30th day of January, 2024.

/s/ Karin J. Immergut
Karin J. Immergut
United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – OPINION AND ORDER ADOPTING JUDGE BECKERMAN'S F&R